

## **Completion Instructions**

### **Return of Market Risk and CVA Risk Capital Charge** **Part II – CVA Risk Capital Charge** **Form MA(BS)3A(II)**

#### **Introduction**

1. Form MA(BS)3A(II) (“the Form”) should be completed on a monthly basis by each authorized institution incorporated in Hong Kong to calculate its ***CVA risk capital charge*** or its ***risk-weighted amount for CVA risk***.<sup>1</sup>
2. Reporting institutions should read the completion instructions in conjunction with the Banking (Capital) Rules (“Rules”), Supervisory Policy Manual (SPM) MR-2 “CVA risk capital charge” (“SPM MR-2”) and relevant supervisory policies as well as guidance related to the CVA risk capital framework. They should refer to section 2, Part 2 and Part 8A of the Rules for the definition of the terms in bold and italics used in this Form and its completion instructions.

#### **Section A: Definitions and Clarification**

3. A reporting institution should use the ***reduced basic CVA approach*** or the ***full basic CVA approach*** to calculate its CVA risk capital charge or, subject to the approval of the Monetary Authority (“MA”), the ***standardized CVA approach***, except for those mentioned in paragraph 4.
4. A reporting institution whose aggregate notional amount of ***OTC derivative transactions*** that are not cleared by a ***CCP*** never exceeds HKD 1 trillion, the institution may calculate its risk-weighted amount for CVA risk as 100% of the institution’s risk-weighted amount for counterparty credit risk. However, the MA may remove this option if it is determined that the CVA risk resulting from the covered positions materially contributes to the institution’s overall risk.
5. A reporting institution should calculate its CVA risk capital charge or its risk-weighted amount for CVA risk for covered transactions in both the trading book and the banking book. Covered transactions are all its OTC derivative transactions and (if required by the MA) SFTs that are fair-valued for accounting purposes, except the transactions and contracts specified in Schedule 1A of the Rules.
6. A reporting institution should calculate the CVA risk capital charge for its CVA portfolio on a standalone basis. The CVA portfolio should include all covered transactions and eligible CVA hedges. Eligibility criteria for CVA hedges are

---

<sup>1</sup> The monthly reporting frequency is only applicable when the new CVA risk standard becomes fully implemented on a date no earlier than 1 January 2025. The new standard will first begin as a reporting-only requirement on a date no earlier than 1 July 2024 with a quarterly reporting frequency.

specified in paragraph 2.3.1 of the SPM MR-2 for the basic CVA approach and in paragraph 3.1.6 of the SPM MR-2 for the standardized CVA approach.

7. A reporting institution should complete various divisions of this Form according to the following instructions:
  - (a) a reporting institution using the reduced basic CVA approach should complete Division A of the Form;
  - (b) a reporting institution using the full basic CVA approach should complete Division B of the Form;
  - (c) a reporting institution using the standardized CVA approach should complete Division C of the Form; and
  - (d) a reporting institution calculating the risk-weighted amount for CVA risk as 100% of the institution's risk-weighted amount for counterparty credit risk should complete Division D of the Form.
8. A reporting institution may use a combination of approaches as allowed in Division 5A of Part 2 of the Rules. For example, an institution that use a combination of the full basic CVA approach and the standardized CVA approach to calculate its CVA risk capital charge for different counterparties should complete both Divisions B and C of the Form.

### **Section B: Reduced Basic CVA Approach**

9. The reduced basic CVA approach eliminates the element of hedging recognition from the full basic CVA approach. A reporting institution that does not hedge its CVA risk or prefers a simpler approach may choose to use the reduced basic CVA approach instead of the full basic CVA approach.
10. A reporting institution should follow Division 2 of Part 8A of the Rules and the guidance specified in section 2.2 of the SPM MR-2 to calculate and report the CVA risk capital charge under the reduced basic CVA approach in Division A of the Form. The institution should report the CVA risk capital charge  $BA\_CVA_{reduced}$ , calculated based on the formula in paragraph 2.2.1 of the SPM MR-2, in column (1) of Division A of the Form.

### **Section C: Full Basic CVA Approach**

11. The full basic CVA approach recognises the counterparty spread hedges and is intended for reporting institutions that hedge their CVA risk.
12. A reporting institution should follow Division 3 of Part 8A of the Rules and the guidance specified in section 2.3 of the SPM MR-2 to calculate and report the CVA risk capital charge under the full basic CVA approach in Division B of the Form.

13. A reporting institution should report in:
- (a) column (1) the CVA risk capital charge  $BA\_CVA_{reduced}$ , calculated based on the formula in paragraph 2.2.1 of the SPM MR-2;
  - (b) column (2) the CVA risk capital charge  $BA\_CVA_{hedged}$ , calculated based on the formula in paragraph 2.3.3 of the SPM MR-2, which recognises *eligible CVA hedges*; and
  - (c) column (3) the CVA risk capital charge under the full basic CVA approach, calculated based on the formula in paragraph 2.3.2 of the SPM MR-2.

## **Section D: Standardized CVA Approach**

### **D.1 CVA risk capital charge under the standardized CVA approach**

14. A reporting institution should follow Division 4 of Part 8A of the Rules and the guidance specified in section 3 of the SPM MR-2 to calculate the CVA risk capital charge under the standardized CVA approach. The standardized CVA approach makes use of CVA sensitivities to capture *CVA delta* and *CVA vega* risks within each *risk class*.
15. The six risk classes are (i) interest rate risk, (ii) foreign exchange risk, (iii) counterparty credit spread risk, (iv) reference credit spread risk, (v) equity risk and (vi) commodity risk. However, there is no vega risk capital charge for counterparty credit spread risk.
16. The reporting institution should follow the step-by-step approach set out in paragraph 3.3.12 of the SPM MR-2 to determine the capital charges for CVA delta risk and CVA vega risk, respectively.

#### **D.1.1 Division C.1 of Form MA(BS)3A(II) – Standardized CVA Approach – Summary of CVA risk capital charge under standardized CVA approach**

17. Division C.1 of the Form provides a summary of capital charges calculated under the standardized CVA approach.
18. For both item 1 (CVA delta risk capital charge) and item 2 (CVA vega risk capital charge),
- (a) the CVA risk capital charges reported in column (1) should be extracted from Divisions C.2 to C.7 of the Form; and
  - (b) the CVA risk capital charges reported in column (2) are the totals of the respective item.
19. Item 3 (total CVA delta and vega risk capital charge) is the simple sum of capital charges reported in column (2) of item 1 and 2 above.

20. A reporting institution should scale up the aggregate capital charge by a multiplier  $m_{CVA}$  (reported in item 4) to determine the total CVA risk capital charge under standardized CVA approach. The basic level of  $m_{CVA}$  is set at 1. However, the MA may require the institution to use a higher level of  $m_{CVA}$ , taking into account the level of model risk for the calculation of the CVA sensitivities (e.g. if the level of model risk for the calculation of CVA sensitivities is too high or the dependence between the institution's exposure to a counterparty and the counterparty's credit quality is not appropriately taken into account in its CVA calculations).

**D.1.2 Division C.2 of Form MA(BS)3A(II) – Standardized CVA Approach – Interest rate risk**

21. A reporting institution should report the CVA risk capital charge for interest rate risk factors in Division C.2 of the Form.
22. A reporting institution should calculate and report in item 1 the capital charge for each CVA delta and CVA vega bucket. The bucket-level capital charges for CVA delta and CVA vega are then aggregated and the reporting institution should report the aggregated figures in item 2. The total CVA risk capital charge in item 3 is a simple sum of CVA delta and CVA vega risk capital charges obtained in item 2.
23. For interest rate risk, each currency represents a bucket. A reporting institution should insert an additional row for any currency that is not listed in the table. The corresponding risk weights and correlations for aggregation of capital charges are specified in paragraphs 3.5.3 to 3.5.7 and paragraphs 3.6.2 to 3.6.3 of the SPM MR-2.

**D.1.3 Division C.3 of Form MA(BS)3A(II) – Standardized CVA Approach – Foreign exchange risk**

24. A reporting institution should report the CVA risk capital charge for foreign exchange risk factors in Division C.3 of the Form. A bucket is set for each exchange rate between HKD and the currency an instrument is denominated in. The corresponding risk weights and correlations for the aggregation of capital charges are specified in paragraphs 3.5.9 to 3.5.11 and paragraph 3.6.2 of the SPM MR-2.

**D.1.4 Division C.4 of Form MA(BS)3A(II) – Standardized CVA Approach – Counterparty credit spread risk**

25. A reporting institution should report the CVA risk capital charge for counterparty credit spread risk factors in Division C.4 of the Form. There is no CVA vega risk capital charge for counterparty credit spread risk. Buckets are differentiated by sector. The corresponding risk weights and correlations for aggregation of capital charges are specified in paragraphs 3.5.12 to 3.5.18 of the SPM MR-2.

**D.1.5 Division C.5 of Form MA(BS)3A(II) – Standardized CVA Approach – Reference credit spread risk**

26. A reporting institution should report the CVA risk capital charge for reference credit spread risk factors in Division C.5 of the Form. Buckets are differentiated by credit quality and sector. The corresponding risk weights and correlations for the

aggregation of capital charges are specified in paragraphs 3.5.19 to 3.5.23 and paragraph 3.6.2 of the SPM MR-2.

**D.1.6 Division C.6 of Form MA(BS)3A(II) – Standardized CVA Approach – Equity risk**

27. A reporting institution should report the CVA risk capital charge for equity risk factors in Division C.6 of the Form. Buckets are differentiated by market capitalisation, economy and sector. The corresponding risk weights and correlations for aggregation of capital charges are specified in paragraphs 3.5.24 to 3.5.31 and paragraph 3.6.2 of the SPM MR-2.

**D.1.7 Division C.7 of Form MA(BS)3A(II) – Standardized CVA Approach – commodity risk**

28. A reporting institution should report the CVA risk capital charge for commodity risk factors in Division C.7 of the Form. Several commodities of similar nature are grouped into single buckets. The corresponding risk weights and correlations for the aggregation of capital charges are specified in paragraphs 3.5.32 to 3.5.33 and paragraph 3.6.2 of the SPM MR-2.

**Section E: Treatment where Total Notional Amount of the OTC Derivative Transactions not Cleared with a CCP Does not Exceed HKD 1 Trillion on a Permanent Basis**

29. A reporting institution should report in Division D of the Form the notional amount of the institution's OTC derivative transactions that are not cleared with a CCP and the risk-weighted amount for CVA risk. Guidance of this approach is provided in paragraph 1.4.2 of the SPM MR-2.

Hong Kong Monetary Authority  
September 2024